

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/082,344	LANGTRY, DAVID
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Adrian D. Battison (Appl. Rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed re-inserting the word --apex-- into line 23 since it appears that this word was inadvertently deleted in the amendment of April 5, 2004.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)